## Comment Set D.93: Emilie Martens

John Boccio/Marian Kadota CPUC/USDA FOREST SERVICE<br>c/o Aspen Environmental Group<br>30423 Canwood Street, Suite 215<br>Agora Hills, Ca. 91301


$\qquad$

Opposition to Edison proposal to build new high tension transmission line along $107^{\text {dh }}$ street and Lost Valley Ranch RoadOpposition due to the following reasons.

1. Cause of many fires due to lightning and birds sparking the wires.
2. Electromagnetic fields, trap radons, cause a predictable rise in cancer rates to people living within a mile of the lines.
3. Lines would impact on the property owners and the community financially, visually, traffic and noise.
4. Limited access roads are all dead ends and hazardous during emergencies.
5. New technology regarding global emission goals 2010 can be achieved by use of less of coal, oil in the transportation systems. Wind farm placement in the California Poppy Park is not an alternative due to the parks beauty and would cause a blight to the area and the visitors.


CALIFORNIA PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION Scoping Comments
Proposed Antelope-Pardee 500-kV Transmission Project
Date: $10 / 2 / 06$
Name*: EMILIE MARTENS
Affiliation (if any): ${ }^{\prime} \mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$
Address:* $4023998^{\text {th }}$ ST. WEST
city, state, zip code:* LEONA VALLEY CA 93551
Telephone Number:* 6612700014
Email:*MARVALEONA1@AOL.COM
I Am opposed to The edison Proposal To Build A NEW High TENSion Transmission lime along 107 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Street and lost valley Road.

1. It would impact leona Valley visually, chang inge OUR BEAUTIFUL SCENERY WHICH WOULD IN TURN Lower our Property values. Some of us have ONLY OUR HOMES.

2 IT WOULD IMPACT THE WilD LIFE OF THE VAlley. 3. THese structures would impact dove Health. 4. Please SEE ATtACHED LETTER
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
*Please print. Your name, address, and comments become public information and may be released to interested parties if requested.

Please either deposit this sheet at the sign-in table before you leave today, or fold, stamp, and mail. Insert additional sheets if needed. Comments must be postmarked by Septenferm. Comments may also be faxed to the project hotline at (661) 215-5152 or emailed to antelope-pardee@aspeneg.com.

## Response to Comment Set D.93: Emilie Martens

D.93-1 As discussed in Draft EIR/EIS Section C.7.10.2, Criterion Fire 2, the potential for operation and maintenance activities associated with the proposed transmission line to start a fire would be less than significant with mitigation incorporated.
D.93-2 Please see General Response GR-3 regarding potential EMF health impacts.
D.93-3 Please see General Response GR-1 regarding potential effects on property values.
D.93-4 As discussed in Draft EIR/EIS Section C.7.10.2, Alternative 5 would have no impacts associated with restricted access to properties.
D.93-5 Please see General Response GR-4 regarding the identification, screening, and analysis of proposed Project alternatives.
D.93-6 As discussed in Draft EIR/EIS Section C.15.10.2, the change to existing views as a result of infrastructure construction are considered a significant and unavoidable impact of Alternative 5. Your concerns will be shared with the decision-makers who are reviewing the Project and alternatives at the USDA Forest Service and the CPUC.
D.93-7 As discussed in Draft EIR/EIS Section C.3.10.2, the construction and operation of Alternative 5 would result in less than significant impacts with mitigation incorporated to both wildlife habitat and species along the Alternative 5 route.
D.93-8 Please see General Response GR-3 regarding potential EMF health impacts. Section C. 6 of the Draft EIR/EIS addresses Public Health and Safety issues.

